

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/647,914	08/26/2003	Brian K. Aegerter	114183-20 (P00-0024US3)	2441	
7590 09/23/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Keith V. Rockey			KORNAKOV, MICHAIL		
Wallenstein Wagner & Rockey, Ltd. 311 South Wacker Drive, 53rd Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chicago, IL	-		1746	TALERNONDER	
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/647,914	AEGERTER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael Kornakov	1746				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - External control	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLING MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 rs IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	imely filed sys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A	<u>Nugust 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u>							
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 67-84 is/are pending in the applicatio	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>67-84</u> is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	,				
•	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
-,	-		•				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		The second of th				
_	•						
	 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv	tion No				
* (* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	ut(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)				
2)	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D					

DETAILED ACTION

 Applicants are reminded that the continuity data of the instant application should be updated to include any information related to patents issued on relative applications.
 Appropriate correction is required.

- 2. The preliminary amendment, submitted by Applicants on 08/27/2003, wherein claims 1-66 are cancelled and the new claims 67-84 are introduced, is acknowledged. Claims 67-84 are currently pending and examined on the merits.
- 3. Applicants' intention to declare the interference between the instant application and the U.S. 6,290,865, filed November 30,1998, as indicated in the preliminary amendment, dated 08/27/2003, is noticed. The instant application is a **CIP** of 09/041,901, filed March 13, 1998, now U.S. 6,350,319, which applicants are relying on in order to provoke and be a senior party in the interference. However, the instant claims 67-84 are not supported by the disclosure of U.S. 6,350,319 and therefore, the priority date of March 13, 1998 can not be given to the subject matter, disclosed by the instant claims 67-84.

Thus, the instant claims recite a method of removing a metal film, including the steps of providing a substrate, having a first and an opposite second surface, wherein a film of **metal** material is deposited on at least the first surface and a portion of unwanted film of deposited material is provided on the second surface; delivering a rinse fluid to the first surface, wherein the rinse fluid substantially prevents dissolution of the film of

Application/Control Number: 10/647,914

Art Unit: 1746

the first surface and delivering a dissolving fluid to the second surface, thereby **removing** the material from at least the second surface.

The instant claims also recite a method of removing a **metal** film deposited on the front side of a substrate and at least a portion of a back side of the substrate, thus indicating that metal material is deposited on both sides of the substrate.

Specifically regarding the instant claim 84, this claim recites a method of removing a **metal** deposited on at least one side of a substrate including the steps of ...preventing dissolution of **at least some of the material** (assuming metal, M.K.) on said one side and the step of dissolving at least a portion of the metal deposited on the other side of the substrate without dissolving **all** of the metal on said one side. Thus, this claim provides for feasible dissolving of metal deposited on said one side.

U.S. 6,350,319 teaches an apparatus for processing a workpiece. Regarding the method steps, US'319 indicates that foregoing constructions also give rise to the ability to perform sequential processing of a single wafer using two or more processing fluids sequentially provided through a single inlet of the reaction chamber. Still further, the ability to concurrently provide different fluids to the upper and lower surfaces of the wafer opens the opportunity to implement novel processing operations. For example, a processing fluid, such as <u>HF</u> liquid, may be supplied to a lower fluid inlet of the reaction chamber for processing the lower wafer surface while an inert fluid, such as nitrogen gas, may be provided to the upper fluid inlet. As such, the <u>HF</u> liquid is allowed to react with the lower surface of the wafer while the upper surface of the wafer is effectively

Application/Control Number: 10/647,914

Art Unit: 1746

isolated from <u>HF</u> reactions (col. 10, lines 27-42). Therefore, while teaching the ability to concurrently provide different fluids to the upper and lower surfaces of the wafer, US'319 fails to provide a method of **removing** a film of deposited **metal** material, including the steps of providing a specific substrate, wherein a **film of metal material** is deposited on at least the first surface of the substrate and a portion of unwanted film of deposited material is provided on the second surface; delivering a rinse fluid to the first surface, wherein the rinse fluid substantially prevents dissolution of the (metal, MK) film of the first surface.

US'319 also fails to provide a **method of removing a metal** deposited on at least one side of a substrate including the steps of ...preventing dissolution of **at least some of the material** (assuming metal, M.K.) on said one side and the step of dissolving at least a portion of the **metal** deposited on the other side of the substrate without dissolving **all** of the metal on said one side, thus providing for feasible dissolving of metal deposited on said one side.

The recitation that the <u>HF</u> liquid is allowed to react with the lower surface of the wafer while the upper surface of the wafer is effectively isolated from <u>HF</u> reactions does not provide any specificities regarding the substrate and the step of removing or dissolving the metal material from the second substrate surface is not disclosed by US'319. The substrate specificities are important in the instant case since a method of specific treatment, applied to the particular substrate is disclosed by the instant claims 67-84.

Accordingly, an interference cannot be initiated based upon these claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,914

Art Unit: 1746

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claim 84 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The recited in claim 84 "the material" constitutes an indefinite subject matter, because it is not clear what the term "the material" is attributed to. It is not clear, whether "the material" represents a deposited metal or any other portion of the substrate. Clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 67-84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a/e) as being anticipated by Lloyd et al (U.S. 6,290,865).

Lloyd et al teach a method of removing a film of deposited metal material utilizing

Art Unit: 1746

the same processing steps as instantly claimed. Therefore, all the limitations of the instant claims are met by Lloyd et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kornakov whose telephone number is (571) 272-1303. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. RODNAFON

Michael Kornakov Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

09/18/2004